

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.msylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/477,991	01/05/2000	BRYCE A. JONES	1264	1039
28004 SPRINT	7590 10/24/20	EXAMINER		
6391 SPRINT		BURGESS, BARBARA N		
KSOPHT010 OVERLAND	1-Z2100 PARK, KS 66251-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/477,991	JONES, BRYCE A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BARBARA BURGESS	2457	
	09/477,991 Examiner	09/477,991 JONES, BRYCE A. Examiner Art Unit

	BARBARA BURGESS	2457					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavil eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropriat	o oxtonoion foo				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extern Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	ann are are period out for at more	o					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further contour they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	, ,	0 1,0	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling to non-allowable claim(s). 							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.							
Claim(s) objected to: <i>NONE</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 165-185.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-164 (previously	cancelled).						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached explaination.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
- —							
	/Barbara N Burgess/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2457					

Response to Arguments

- The Office notes the following argument(s):
- (a) The call does not include a cookie to setup a voice call from a call center resource to a user device as required by claim 166.
- (b) Claim 166 provides for using a cookie to setup a voice call from a user device to a call center resource, over which communications are exchanged.
- Goss does not teach generating a second routing instruction indicating a second route for the voice call originating from the user (c) device to the second call center resource.
- Dunn does not teach a voice call that comprises a Get Document request in Hyper Text Transfer Protocol. (d)
- ġ. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- In response to:
- (a) The customer requests contact with an agent, the Server uses the information from the cookies (information from the session between the customer's browser and the Server are stored in the cookies) to direct the request to a qualified agent. Goss states, "the Web Server maintains a session with the customer browser over the Internet using cookies or other session maintenance technology. This way, when the customer submits a call-back request, the Web Server can identify that customer for the purpose of matching the call-back request to a qualified agent" (column 7, lines 62-67).
- Therefore, Goss indeed discloses the call includes a cookie to setup a voice call from a call center resource to a user device
- Applicant's Specification discloses the web call server receives a call request message originating from the communication device. The call request message is any message or signaling used to begin the web call. Also, in some embodiments, the call request message is the web call itself (Specification, page 5, line 30, page 6, lines 1-3).
- Goss teaches the Contact Server receiving a VOIP contact request or call-back request from a customer. This request is sent by the customer's Internet device (column 2, lines 10-12, 21-27, 45-49, column 3, lines 30-33, column 7, lines 62-67).
- The customer requests contact with an agent, the Server uses the information from the cookies (information from the session between the customer's browser and the Server are stored in the cookies) to direct the request to a qualified agent. Goss states, "the Web Server maintains a session with the customer browser over the Internet using cookies or other session maintenance technology. This way, when the customer submits a call-back request, the Web Server can identify that customer for the purpose of matching the call-back request to a qualified agent" (column 7, lines 62-67).
- Goss discloses many ways in which communication between a customer and agent takes place. The preferred embodiment utilizes http and telephony communications. A customer with voice telephony or video telephony can communicate with the agent via these applications. A customer initiates a call-back request (voice call) using any of these application when the need arises to speak with an agent. The customer gives certain information in the request such as customer's name, telephone #, and other information. This call-back request is then routed to the appropriate agent. Goss explicitly states, "when a call-back request is received from a customer, it must be sent to an agent who is trained to service the corporate business client represented by the customer" (column 5, lines 11-15, column 9, lines 1-5, 66-67, column 10, lines 1-4),
- Therefore, Goss undoubtedly teaches providing for using a cookie to setup a voice call from a user device to a call center resource, over which communications are exchanged.
- Goss teaches transferring the call to a different customer agent. The call is then redirected by the Contact Server/Enterprise Contact Server to another agent with the appropriate skills and availability (column 13, lines 13-35), Therefore, Goss absolutely discloses generating a second routing instruction indicating a second route for the voice call originating from
- the user device to the second call center resource. Dunn teaches using VOIP to request services from Eckerd Drug Store. The requested service might be ordering a prescription
- and getting directions (column 6, lines 15-18, 24-30, 64-67, column 7, lines 1-4). Therefore, Dunn indeed discloses a voice call that comprises a Get Document request in Hyper Text Transfer Protocol.